

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 'P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/724,586              | 11/28/2000            | Roman Sakowicz       | UCSD-04871              | 9471             |
| 23535 75                | 23535 7590 10/06/2003 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP   |                       |                      | HINES, JANA A           |                  |
| SUITE 350               |                       | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |
| SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 |                       |                      | 1645                    |                  |
|                         |                       |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003 | 15               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Ę   | ile Copy  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| W 12  | Application N .   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action   | 09/724,586  | SAKOWICZ ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   | Ja-Na A Hines   | 1645  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |   |   |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica<br>a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply to a places the application in  |  |  |  |
|   | PLY [check either a) or b)]                                       |   |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| b)  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:   |   |   |  |  |  |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |   |   |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |   |   |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |   |   |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling  | ng a corresponding number of fi                                   | nally rejected claims.  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti   | on(s):  |   |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would local canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a se                                 | parate, timely filed amendment  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See  |   | dered but does NOT place the  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY to                                 | o issues which were newly   |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo  | s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below | will be entered and an wor appended.  ■ wor appe |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: 1,8,9,11,13,50-52,54,59,64,68,74-76,81 and 82.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>2,12,55,56,60-63,65-67,69-73 and 83-88</u> .   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,4,5,7,12,63 and 77-80</u> .   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |  |  |  |
| . ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |   |   |  |  |  |
| LYWETTER. F. SMITH  |   |   |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

SUPERVISORY PATENT A CARRENER TECHNOLOGY CENTER IDEAL

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The entry of the after final amendment would raise new issues that require further consideration and search. These issues are drawn to nucleic acid sequences encoding a protein comprising amino acids 1 to 784, 1 to 357, 358 to 442 and 602 to 784 all of SEQ ID NO:1, these encoded proteins were not previously claimed, therefore the amendment will not entered.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection of claims 2,4,5,7,12,55-56,60-63, 65-67,69-73,77-80 and 83-88 under either 35 USC 112 1st or 112 2nd paragraph are maintained in view of the after final amendment not being enetered. The reasons for rejection are already of record. See paper number 13.